UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF TENNESSEE CHATTANOOGA DIVISION

ECOLAB Inc., and NALCO COMPANY, LLC d/b/a Nalco Water, an Ecolab Company and/or Nalco Water,

Plaintiffs,

v.

ANTHONY RIDLEY, and CHEMTREAT, INC.,

Defendants.

Case No. 1:22-cv-00050-TRM-SKL

Hon. Travis McDonough

Magistrate Judge Susan K. Lee

PLAINTIFFS' MEMORANDUM IN SUPPORT OF MOTION FOR ORAL ARGUMENT ON ANTHONY RIDLEY'S MOTION FOR SANCTIONS FOR SPOLIATION [DOC. 261], CHEMTREAT'S MOTION FOR SANCTIONS FOR PLAINTIFFS' SPOLIATION [DOC. 276], AND PLAINTIFFS' MOTION FOR RULE 37(E) SPOLIATION SANCTIONS [DOC. 292]

Plaintiffs Ecolab, Inc. and Nalco Company, LLC (collectively, "Plaintiffs"), pursuant to Judge McDonough's Judicial Preferences § 5(C), file this memorandum in support of their motion seeking oral argument on Defendant Anthony Ridley's ("Ridley") Motion for Sanctions for Spoliations [Doc. 261], Defendant ChemTreat, Inc.'s ("ChemTreat") Motion for Sanctions for Plaintiffs' Spoliation [Doc. 276], and Plaintiffs' Motion for Rule 37(e) Spoliation Sanctions (collectively, the Spoliation Motions), and state as follows:

The Spoliation Motions each allege that a party spoliated electronic evidence, with factual issues that are highly technical, involving massive spreadsheets and including questions of whether reports generated by certain types of computer programs can be considered adequate replacements for lost data and whether forensic analysis disproves sworn testimony regarding the deletion/wiping of data. As some of these issues can be difficult both to present and comprehend within the confines of written briefs, Plaintiffs believe that the Court would benefit not only from

each side presenting their positions and responses on the Motions, but also the opportunity to hear

testimony from computer forensic experts on, inter alia, any spoliation that allegedly took place.

Further, the Spoliation Motions seek sanctions in the form of default judgment and/or

prohibitions on presenting evidence and positions that would significantly hinder the parties'

ability to prevail on their respective claims and defenses. Given the impact that the Court's

determination of the Spoliation Motions will have on the outcome of the case, Plaintiffs

respectfully request that the Court schedule oral argument so that the parties have a full and fair

opportunity to present the evidence and arguments that will allow the Court to reach a decision on

the Motions.

DATED: July 12, 2023

Respectfully submitted,

ECOLAB INC. NALCO COMPANY, LLC

d/b/a Nalco Water, an Ecolab Company

and/or Nalco Water,

By: /s/ David J. Waltons

J. Gregory Grisham (TN BPR#013810)

FISHER & PHILLIPS LLP

1715 Aaron Brenner Drive, Suite 312

Memphis, Tennessee 38120

Telephone: 901.526.0431

Fax: 901.526.8183

ggrisham@fisherphillips.com

David J. Walton

(pro hac vice)

FISHER & PHILLIPS LLP

Two Logan Square, 12th Floor

100 N. 18th Street

Philadelphia, PA 19103

Telephone: 610.230.6015

Fax: 610.230.2151

dwalton@fisherphillips.com

Edward G. Winsman (pro hac vice)
FISHER & PHILLIPS LLP
Two Logan Square, 12th Floor
100 N. 18th Street
Philadelphia, PA 19103
Telephone: 610.230.2142

Fax: 610.230.2151

ewinsman@fisherphillips.com

COUNSEL FOR PLAINTIFFS

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I certify that a copy of the foregoing was filed electronically. Notice of this filing will be sent by operation of the Court's electronic filing system to all parties indicated on the electronic receipt. Parties may access this filing through the Court's electronic filing system.

/s/ David J. Walton
David J. Walton